arXiv:math/0509652v1 [math.AC] 28 Sep 2005

Local Cohomology and complete intersections of arithmetic rank one

Michael Hellus
hellus@math.uni-leipzig.de

0. Introduction

In algebraic geomtry there is the important concept of complete intersections; roughly speaking a complete intersection is a variety cut out by codimension many equations; in general the number of equations needed to cut out a variety given by an ideal is called the arithmetic rank (of the ideal). It was shown in [8] that the notion of arithmetic rank is strongly related to the concept of regular sequences on the Matlis duals of certain local cohomology modules (basics on regular sequences can be found in [5], [11] and [12]) and thus is related to the set of associated primes of such Matlis duals.
While in [8] the general situation with no restrictions on arithmetic rank and cohomological dimension was investigated, this work concentrates on the case "cohomological dimension of the given ideal is (at most) one" and also on the interplay between non-graded local and graded situations. The main results are new characterizations for the case ara ≤ 1 (theorem 1 and theorem 2), the fact that given a graded ring R and a homogenous ideal I of cohomological dimension at most one the inclusion

$$\{x \in I | x \text{ non-homogenous}\} \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R)))} \mathfrak{p}$$

holds (theorem 4, D is a Matlis dual functor) and a (somewhat technical) statement on regular sequences on Matlis duals of certain local cohomology modules (theorem 5), which shows that regular sequences on such Matlis duals behave well in some sense although these modules are not finite in general.
Section 1 describes the local (non-graded) situation, section 2 the graded situation, while section 3 contains the results and section 4 presents some open questions.

1. The local situation

Given a noetherian local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ and an ideal I of R, the arithmetic rank of I is defined as the minimal number of generators of I up to radical:

$$\mathrm{ara}(I) := \min\{l \in \mathbb{N} | \exists r_1, \ldots r_l \in R | \sqrt{I} = \sqrt{(r_1, \ldots, r_l)R}\} \quad .$$

If we denote by $\mathrm{H}^s_I(R)$ ($s \in \mathbb{N}$) the local cohomology modules of R supported in I then apparantely (e. g. by Koszul cohomology arguments) the implication $\mathrm{ara}(I) \leq 1 \Rightarrow 0 = \mathrm{H}^2_I(R) = \mathrm{H}^3_I(R) = \ldots$ holds, while the converse implication "$\Leftarrow$" does not hold in general (counterexample: k a field, $S = k[[x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4]]$ a formal power series ring over k in variables x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, $\mathfrak{p} := \sqrt{(f := x_1x_4 - x_2x_3, x_2^4 - x_1^3x_4, x_3^4 - x_4^3x_1)S}, R := S/fS, I := \mathfrak{p}/fS$. It is easy to see that both

$$0 = \mathrm{H}^2_I(R) = \mathrm{H}^3_I(R) = \ldots$$

and $\mathrm{ara}(I) \geq 2$ hold. The variety defined by $\mathfrak{p}$ is a variant of the so-called "Macaulay-curve"). We have the equivalence

$$\mathrm{ara}(I) \leq 1 \iff 0 = \mathrm{H}^2_I(R) = \mathrm{H}^3_I(R) = \ldots \text{ and } \exists f \in I : f \text{ operates surjectively on } \mathrm{H}^1_I(R) \quad .$$

The (easy) proof can be extracted from [8, section 0], but we repeat it here for sake of completeness:
$\Rightarrow$: Assume $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{fR}$ for some $f \in R$. W. l. o. g. we can assume $f \in I$. f operates surjectively on $\mathrm{H}^1_{fR}(R) = \mathrm{H}^1_I(R)$. $\Leftarrow$: $\mathrm{H}^1_I(_)$ is a right-exact functor (on R-modules). Therefore we have an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{H}^1_I(R) \xrightarrow{f} \mathrm{H}^1_I(R) \to \mathrm{H}^1_I(R/fR) \to 0 \quad .$$

Thus $\mathrm{H}^1_I(R/fR) = 0$ holds, implying $\mathrm{H}^1_I(R/\mathfrak{p}) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ containing f. $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{fR}$ follows. From now on we will always assume $0 = \mathrm{H}^2_I(R) = \mathrm{H}^3_I(R) = \ldots$ unless stated otherwise. We denote by $\mathrm{E} := \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$ the R-injective hull of $R/\mathfrak{m}$ and by D the Matlis dual functor from $(R - mod)$ to itself sending M to $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \mathrm{E})$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{ara}(I) \leq 1 \iff \exists f \in I : f \text{ operates injectively on } D(\mathrm{H}^1_I R()) \iff I \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R)))} \mathfrak{p} \quad .$$

2. The graded situation

Next we consider the following situation (referred to from now on as "graded situtation"): K a field, $l \in \mathbb{N}, R = K[X_0, \ldots, X_N]/J$ ($N \in \mathbb{N}, J \subseteq K[X_0, \ldots, X_n]$ a homogenous ideal, where every X_i has a multidegree in $\mathbb{N}^l$), $I \subseteq R$ a homogenous ideal, $\mathfrak{m}$ the maximal homogenous ideal $(X_0, \ldots, X_N)R$ of R, $\mathrm{E} := \mathrm{E}_R(R/\mathfrak{m})$ an R-injective hull of $R/\mathfrak{m}$; E has a natural grading and serves also as a *-R-injective hull of $R/\mathfrak{m}$ (for details on *-notation see [3, sections 12 and 13]). $*D$ shall denote the functor from graded R-modules to itself sending M to $* \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \mathrm{E})$. The homogenous arithmetic rank of I is defined as

$$\mathrm{ara}^h := \min\{l \in \mathbb{N} | \exists r_1, \ldots, r_l \in R \text{ homogenous} : \sqrt{I} = \sqrt{(r_1, \ldots, r_l)R}\} \quad .$$

Just like in the non-graded local case one can show

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ara}^h(I) \leq 1 &\iff \exists f \in I \text{ homogenous} : f \text{ operates injectively on } (*D)(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R)) \\ &\iff I^h \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R((*D)(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R)))} \mathfrak{p} \quad . \end{aligned}$$

Here $I^h := \{r \in I | r \text{ homogenous}\}$ and all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R((*D)(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R)))$ are homogenous.

3. Results

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local ring and $X \subseteq \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ a subset. We say "X satisfies prime avoidance" if, for every ideal J of R,

$$J \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in X} \mathfrak{p}$$

implies

$$\exists \mathfrak{p}_0 \in X : J \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_0 \quad .$$

Theorem 1

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local ring and I an ideal of R such that $0 \overset{(*)}{=} \mathrm{H}^2_I(R) = \mathrm{H}^3_I(R) = \ldots$. Then

$$\mathrm{ara}(I) \leq 1 \iff \mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R))) \text{ satisfies prime avoidance} \quad .$$

Proof:
We set

$$D := D(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R)) \quad .$$

$\Rightarrow$: Let $J \subseteq R$ be an ideal such that

$$J \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(D)} \mathfrak{p} \quad .$$

Assuming $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/J, D) = 0$ we conclude $\mathrm{H}^1_I(R/J) = 0$ (by Matlis duality). Because of $(*)$ it follows that $\mathrm{H}^1_I(R/\mathfrak{p}) = 0$ for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of R containing J. Again because of $(*)$ we have $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p}$ containing

J, that is $I \subseteq \sqrt{J}$. There is an $x \in R$ such that $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{xR}$. Hence $x^l \in J$ for $l >> 0$. So there is a $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(D)$ containing x. Now we have

$$0 = \mathrm{H}^1_{xR}(R/\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{H}^1_I(R/\mathfrak{p})$$

and thus

$$0 = D(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R/\mathfrak{p})) = \mathrm{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{p}, D(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R)))$$

contradicting $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(D)$. The assumption $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/J, D) = 0$ is false and so there exists $d \in D \setminus \{0\}$ such that $J \subseteq \mathrm{ann}_R(d)$.
$\Leftarrow$: We have to show the existence of an $x \in I$ operating surjectively on $\mathrm{H}^1_I(R)$. Assume to the contrary

$$I \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(D)} \mathfrak{p} \quad .$$

From the hypothesis we get a $\mathfrak{p}_0 \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(D)$ such that $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_0$. But this $\mathfrak{p}_0$ would satisfy

$$0 \neq \mathrm{H}^1_I(R/\mathfrak{p}_0) = 0 \quad .$$

Similarly, in the graded situation, let $X \subseteq \mathrm{Spec}^h(R) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R) | \mathfrak{p} \text{ homogenous}\}$ be any subset. X satisfies "homogenous prime avoidance" if, for every homogenous ideal J of R,

$$J^h \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in X} \mathfrak{p}$$

implies

$$\exists \mathfrak{p}_0 \in X : J \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_0 \quad .$$

Theorem 2
Let R be graded and $I \subseteq R$ an homogenous ideal such that $0 = \mathrm{H}^2_I(R) = \mathrm{H}^3_I(R) = \ldots$. Then

$$\mathrm{ara}^h(I) \leq 1 \iff \mathrm{Ass}_R((*D)(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R))) \text{ satisfies homgenous prime avoidance}$$

holds.
Proof:
The proof consists mainly of a graded version of the proof of theorem 1:
$\Rightarrow$: Let $J \subseteq R$ be an homogenous ideal such that $J^h \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R((*D)(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R)))} \mathfrak{p}$ and $x \in R^h$ an element such that $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{xR}$. We assume

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/J, *\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R), \mathrm{E})) = 0$$

and remark that for the first Hom (in the preceeding formula) it would not make any difference if we replaced Hom by $*\,\mathrm{Hom}$. This implies

$$*\,\mathrm{Hom}_R((R/J) \otimes_R \mathrm{H}^1_I(R), \mathrm{E}) = 0$$

and hence $\mathrm{H}^1_I(R/J) = 0$. Thus $I \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{q}$ of R containing J. This implies the existence of a $\mathfrak{p}_0 \in \mathrm{Ass}_R((*D)(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R)))$ such that $x \in \mathfrak{p}_0$ contradicting $\mathrm{H}^1_I(R/\mathfrak{p}_0) \neq 0$.
$\Leftarrow$: We assume that for every $x \in I^h$ there exists a $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R((*D)(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R)))$ such that $x \in \mathfrak{p}$, i. e.

$$I^h \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(*\,\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R), \mathrm{E}))} \mathfrak{p} \quad .$$

There is a $\mathfrak{p}_0 \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(*\,\mathrm{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R), \mathrm{E}))$ containing I, contradicting $\mathrm{H}^1_I(R/\mathfrak{p}_0) \neq 0$.

Remark

In the graded situation, given graded R-modules M and N,

$$*\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N) \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N)$$

holds. For finite M one has equality here, but for arbitrary M equality does not hold in general. In fact one has

$$\operatorname{Ass}_R(*\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N)) \subsetneq \operatorname{Ass}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N))$$

in general as we will see below (in the remark following theorem 4) in the case $M = \mathrm{H}^1_I(R)$, $N = \mathrm{E}$; then we will also see that (in some sense) $\operatorname{Ass}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R),\mathrm{E}))$ is much larger than $\operatorname{Ass}_R(*\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R),\mathrm{E}))$.
Still in the graded situation let I be an ideal of R (such that $0 = \mathrm{H}^2_I(R) = \mathrm{H}^3_I(R) = \ldots$). For every $f \in I$ we have

$$\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{fR} \iff \forall_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R),\mathrm{E}))} f \notin \mathfrak{p} \quad .$$

We replace the last condition by

$$\forall_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(*\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R),\mathrm{E}))} f \notin \mathfrak{p}$$

and get a weaker condition, which we will denote by ${}^h\sqrt{I} = {}^h\sqrt{fR}$. Our next result shows there are many (inhomogenous) $f \in I$ such that ${}^h\sqrt{I} = {}^h\sqrt{fR}$.

Theorem 3

Let I be a homogenous ideal of R such that $\operatorname{ara}^h(I) \leq 1$. Let $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in I \setminus \{0\}$ be homogenous of pairwise different degrees (in $\mathbf{N}^l$) and such that

$$\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{(g_1, \ldots, g_n)R} \quad .$$

Then

$${}^h\sqrt{I} = {}^h\sqrt{(g_1 + \ldots + g_n)R}$$

holds.
Proof:
We have $\mathrm{H}^1_I(R/(g_1,\ldots,g_n)R) = 0$ and hence

$$(g_1, \ldots, g_n)R \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(*\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R),\mathrm{E}))$. Theorem 2 implies

$$((g_1, \ldots, g_n)R)^h \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(*\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R),\mathrm{E}))} \mathfrak{p} \quad .$$

Because of the different degrees of the g_i we conclude

$$(g_1 + \ldots + g_n)R \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(*\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R),\mathrm{E}))} \mathfrak{p}$$

and the statement follows.

Lemma

Let R be a graded domain and $f \in R \setminus \{0\}$. Then the ideal $\sqrt{fR}$ is homogenous if and only if f is homogenous. In particular, for any homogenous ideal I fo R we have

$$(\min\{l \in \mathbf{N} | \exists r_1, \ldots r_l \in R | \sqrt{I} = \sqrt{(r_1,\ldots,r_l)R}\} =:) \operatorname{ara}(I) \leq 1 \iff \operatorname{ara}^h(I) \leq 1 \quad .$$

Proof:

$\Leftarrow$ is clear. $\Rightarrow$: R is $\mathbb{N}^l$-graded. This given grading may be seen as l given $\mathbb{N}$-gradings on R and so we may assume $l = 1$. Let $\delta := \deg(f)$. Then $f_\delta(=\text{degree-}\delta\text{-part of } f) \in \sqrt{fR}$, i. e. $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $\exists g \in R : f_\delta^n = fg$. R is a domain and so f (as well as g) must be homogenous.

The preceeding lemma implies that if R is a graded domain and $I \subseteq R$ is a homogenous ideal such that $\operatorname{ara}(I) \leq 1$ ($\iff$ $\operatorname{ara}^h(I) \leq 1$), every non-homogenous $f \in I$ does not operate injectively on $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R), \mathrm{E})$. Furthermore, if $\operatorname{ara}(I) > 1$ ($\iff$ $\operatorname{ara}^h(I) > 1$), it is clear by the remarks preceeding theorem 2, that no $f \in I$ operates injectively on $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R), \mathrm{E})$. Thus we have the following (somewhat surprising) result:

Theorem 4

$$(\{x \in I | x \text{ non-homogenous}\} =:) I^{nh} \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R), \mathrm{E}))} \mathfrak{p}$$

Remark

While theorem 3 says there are (many) non-homogenous $f \in I$ operating injectively on $* \operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R), \mathrm{E})$, theorem 4 says there are no non-homogenous $f \in I$ operating injectively on $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\mathrm{H}^1_I(R), \mathrm{E})$.

Now we consider a more general situation: Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local ring, $I \subseteq R$ an ideal, $h \in \mathbb{N}$ and we assume $\mathrm{H}^l_I(R) \neq 0 \iff l = h$ holds. For every R-regular sequence $r_1, \ldots, r_h \in I$ the equivalence

$$\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{(r_1, \ldots, r_h)R} \iff r_1, \ldots, r_h \text{ is a regular sequence on } D(\mathrm{H}^h_I(R))$$

holds ([8, section 0]). But as $D(\mathrm{H}^h_I(R))$ is not finitely generated, regular sequences on $D(\mathrm{H}^h_I(R))$ do not behave very well; e. g. one cannot expect that all maximal regular sequences on $D(\mathrm{H}^h_I(R))$ have the same length. But now we will see that at least some properties which are valid for regular sequences in the finite case remain true for $D(\mathrm{H}^h_I(R))$.
The following fact is well-known: If M is a finite R-module and $r_1, \ldots, r_h \in R$ is a M-regular sequence then $r'_1, \ldots, r'_h \in R$ is also an M-regular sequence provided $(r'_1, \ldots, r'_h)R = (r_1, \ldots, r_h)R$. In our case it is clear that if a R-regular sequence $r_1, \ldots, r_h \in I$ is a $D(\mathrm{H}^h_I(R))$-regular sequence then a R-regular-sequence $r'_1, \ldots, r'_h \in I$ is also $D(\mathrm{H}^h_I(R))$-regular if $(r'_1, \ldots, r'_h)R = (r_1, \ldots, r_h)R$ holds (simply because of $\sqrt{(r'_1, \ldots, r'_h)R} = \sqrt{I}$). But more is true:

Theorem 5

Let $1 \leq h' \leq h$ and let $r_1, \ldots, r_{h'} \in I$ be a R-regular sequence that is $D(\mathrm{H}^h_I(R))$-regular. Furthermore, let $r'_1, \ldots, r'_{h'} \in I$ be such that $(r'_1, \ldots, r'_{h'})R = (r_1, \ldots, r_{h'})R$ holds. Then $r'_1, \ldots, r'_{h'}$ is a $D(\mathrm{H}^h_I(R))$-regular sequence. In particular, any permutation of $r_1, \ldots, r_{h'}$ is again a $D(\mathrm{H}^h_I(R))$-regular sequence.
Proof:
It is clear that $r'_1, \ldots, r'_{h'}$ is an R-regular sequence. By induction on $s \in \{1, \ldots, h'\}$ we show two statements:

$$\mathrm{H}^l_I(R/(r_1, \ldots, r_s)R) \neq 0 \iff l = h - s$$

and

$$D(\mathrm{H}^{h-s}_I(R/(r_1, \ldots, r_s)R)) = D(\mathrm{H}^h_I(R))/(r_1, \ldots, r_s)D(\mathrm{H}^h_I(R)) \quad :$$

$s = 1$: The short exact sequence

$$0 \to R \xrightarrow{r_1} R \to R/r_1R \to 0$$

induces a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^{h-1}_I(R/r_1R) \to \mathrm{H}^h_I(R) \xrightarrow{r_1} \mathrm{H}^h_I(R) \to 0$$

and shows

$$\mathrm{H}^l_I(R/r_1R) \neq 0 \iff l = h - 1 \quad .$$

The statement $D(\mathrm{H}^{h-1}_I(R/r_1R)) = D(\mathrm{H}^h_I(R))/r_1D(\mathrm{H}^h_I(R))$ follows easily.

$s > 1$: The short exact sequence

$$0 \to R/(r_1, \ldots, r_{s-1})R \xrightarrow{r_s} R/(r_1, \ldots, r_{s-1})R \to R/(r_1, \ldots, r_s)R \to 0$$

induces an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}_I^{h-s}(R/(r_1, \ldots, r_s)R) \to \mathrm{H}_I^{h-(s-1)}(R/(r_1, \ldots, r_{s-1})R) \xrightarrow{r_s} \mathrm{H}_I^{h-(s-1)}(R/(r_1, \ldots, r_{s-1})R)$$

By induction hypothesis, $D(\mathrm{H}_I^{h-(s-1)}(R/(r_1, \ldots, r_{s-1})R)) = D(\mathrm{H}_I^h(R))/(r_1, \ldots, r_{s-1})D(\mathrm{H}_I^h(R))$ and so, by assumption, r_s operates surjectively on $\mathrm{H}_I^{h-(s-1)}(R/(r_1, \ldots, r_{s-1})R)$ and we get

$$\mathrm{H}_I^l(R/(r_1, \ldots, r_s)R) \neq 0 \iff l = r - s$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D(\mathrm{H}_I^{h-(s-1)}(R/(r_1, \ldots, r_{s-1})R)) &= D(\mathrm{H}_I^{h-(s-1)}(R/(r_1, \ldots, r_{s-1})R))/r_s D(\mathrm{H}_I^{h-(s-1)}(R/(r_1, \ldots, r_{s-1})R)) \\ &= D(\mathrm{H}_I^h(R))/(r_1, \ldots, r_s)D(\mathrm{H}_I^h(R)) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

In particular for $s = h'$ we have

$$\mathrm{H}_I^l(R/(r_1, \ldots, r_{h'})R) \neq 0 \iff l = h - h' \quad ,$$

i. e.

$$\mathrm{H}_I^l(R/(r'_1, \ldots, r'_{h'})R) \neq 0 \iff l = h - h' \quad .$$

We prove by descending induction on $s \in \{0, \ldots, h' - 1\}$ three statements:

$$r'_{s+1} \text{ operates surjectively on } \mathrm{H}_I^{h-s}(R/(r'_1, \ldots, r'_s)R) \quad ,$$

$$\mathrm{H}_I^{h-l}(R/(r'_1, \ldots, r'_s)R) \neq 0 \iff l = s$$

and

$$D(\mathrm{H}_I^{h-(s+1)}(R/(r'_1, \ldots, r'_{s+1})R)) = D(\mathrm{H}_I^{h-s}(R/(r'_1, \ldots, r'_s)R))/r'_{s+1}D(\mathrm{H}_I^{h-s}(R/(r'_1, \ldots, r'_s)R)) \quad :$$

$s = h' - 1$: We consider the long exact Γ_I-sequence belonging to the short exact sequence

$$0 \to R/(r'_1, \ldots, r'_{h'-1})R \xrightarrow{r'_{h'}} R/(r'_1, \ldots, r'_{h'-1})R \to R/(r'_1, \ldots, r'_{h'})R \to 0 \quad :$$

The surjectivity of $r'_{h'}$ on $\mathrm{H}_I^{h-(h'-1)}(R/(r'_1, \ldots, r'_{h'-1})R)$ is obvious and the other statements follow from the fact that for $l \neq h - (h' - 1)$ we have injectivity of $r'_{h'}$ on $\mathrm{H}_I^l(R/(r'_1, \ldots, r'_{h'-1})R)$, hence

$$\mathrm{H}_I^l(R/(r'_1, \ldots, r'_{h'-1})R) = 0$$

as $r'_{h'} \in I$.
$s < h' - 1$: We consider the long exact Γ_I-sequence belonging to the short exact sequence

$$0 \to R/(r'_1, \ldots, r'_s)R \xrightarrow{r'_{s+1}} R/(r'_1, \ldots, r'_s)R \to R/(r'_1, \ldots, r'_{s+1})R \to 0 \quad .$$

Now one can use similar arguments like in the case $s = h' - 1$ to prove all three statements.

4. Open questions

- Do there exist versions of theorem 1 and theorem 2 for the case $\mathrm{ara}(I) \leq l$ for arbitrary l?

- In the remark preceeding theorem 3 the relation ${}^h\sqrt{I} = {}^h\sqrt{fR}$ was defined (in a special situation). Is there a natural generalization of this to define relations like ${}^h\sqrt{I} = {}^h\sqrt{(f_1, \ldots, f_l)R}$?

- Suppose in the situation of theorem 1 that $X := \mathrm{Ass}_R(D(\mathrm{H}_I^1(R)))$ does not satisfy prime avoidance. The proof of theorem 1 shows that I is contained in $\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in Ass_R(D(\mathrm{H}_I^1(R)))} \mathfrak{p}$ and so there are ideals K containing I and being itself contained in $\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in Ass_R(D(\mathrm{H}_I^1(R)))} \mathfrak{p}$ and being maximal with these properties. How are these ideals K related to I?

References

1. Bass, H. On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings, *Math. Z.* **82**, (1963) 8–28.
2. Brodmann, M. and Hellus, M. Cohomological patterns of coherent sheaves over projective schemes, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* **172**, (2002) 165–182.
3. Brodmann, M. P. and Sharp, R. J. Local Cohomology, *Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics* **60**, (1998).
4. Bruns, W. and Herzog, J. Cohen-Macaulay Rings, *Cambridge University Press*, (1993).
5. Eisenbud, D. Commutative Algebra with A View Toward Algebraic Geometry, *Springer Verlag*, (1995).
6. Grothendieck, A. Local Cohomology, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag*, (1967).
7. Hellus, M. On the set of associated primes of a local cohomology module, *J. Algebra* **237**, (2001) 406–419.
8. Hellus, M. On the associated primes of Matlis duals of top local cohomology modules, to appear in *Communications in Algebra* **33**.
9. Huneke, C. Problems on Local Cohomology, *Res. Notes Math.* **2**, (1992) 93–108.
10. Matlis, E. Injective modules over Noetherian rings, *Pacific J. Math.* **8**, (1958) 511–528.
11. Matsumura, H. Commutative ring theory, *Cambridge University Press*, (1986).
12. Scheja, G. and Storch, U. Regular Sequences and Resultants, *AK Peters*, (2001).